

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 500.38228X00 T MUGI 02/17/00 09/485,852 **EXAMINER** MM21/0925 020457 BROCK Il,P ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON VA 22209

2815 DATE MAILED: 09/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summers | 09/485,852 | | MOGI ET AL. | |
| 1 | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | Paul E Brock II | ahaa Awai Ahaa | 2815 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) 🗌 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th | INAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-9,15 and 16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2000</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>pct/jp98/03791</u> | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) | | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/485,852

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "24" and "31" have both been used to designate a light receiving element in figure
 Correction is required.
- 2) The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 12, line 9, "permits" should be permit.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3) Claims 10 – 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim, either directly or indirectly. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 12 – 14 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4) The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5) Claims 1-9 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one

Application/Control Number: 09/485,852

Art Unit: 2815

skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It would not have been clear to one of ordinary skill in the art, based on either the disclosure or the claims as originally filed, at the time the present invention was made what "a region" constitutes in both how to make the region and of what material the region is made. There are no examples given as to what the region might be.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 7) Claims 1 11 and 15 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (USPAT 5621837, Yamada).

As best the examiner can ascertain Yamada reads on all aspects of the claimed invention.

Yamada discloses in figure 10 a semiconductor light receiving element (38) having a light absorbing layer and being projected onto a plain wherein the element is to be mounted.

Conclusion

8) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tabuchi, Suzuki, Lauterbach et al., Helmut et al., Tabuchi (JP) and Koichi all disclose a light receiving element.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,852

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E Brock II whose telephone number is (703)308-6236. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703)308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Paul E Brock II

September 19, 2001

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800